



STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL  
BOARD OF REVIEW  
1400 Virginia Street  
Oak Hill, WV 25901

Jim Justice  
Governor

Bill J. Crouch  
Cabinet Secretary

June 15, 2017

[REDACTED]

RE: [REDACTED] v. WV DHHR  
ACTION NO.: 17-BOR-1689

Dear Ms. [REDACTED]

Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Kristi Logan  
State Hearing Officer  
Member, State Board of Review

Encl: Appellant's Recourse to Hearing Decision  
Form IG-BR-29

cc: Addison Hamilton, [REDACTED] County DHHR

**WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES  
BOARD OF REVIEW**

██████████,

**Defendant,**

v.

**Action Number: 17-BOR-1689**

**WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF  
HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES,**

**Movant.**

**DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER**

**INTRODUCTION**

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an Administrative Disqualification Hearing for ██████████ requested by the Movant on April 18, 2017. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources' Common Chapters Manual and Federal Regulations at 7 CFR §273.16. The hearing was convened on June 8, 2017.

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from a request by the Movant for a determination as to whether the Defendant has committed an Intentional Program Violation and should be disqualified from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) for twelve (12) months.

At the hearing, the Movant appeared by Addison Hamilton, Criminal Investigator. The Defendant appeared *pro se*. All witnesses were sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

**Movant's Exhibits:**

- M-1 Code of Federal Regulations – 7 CFR §271.2
- M-2 Code of Federal Regulations – 7 CFR §273.16
- M-3 Unites States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) Notice of Disqualification dated February 17, 2016, USDA-FNS Store Survey and Store Visit Sketch for ██████████
- M-4 Redacted Printout of EBT Transactions with ██████████
- M-5 EBT Transaction History for Defendant from April 2013-December 2015
- M-6 Statement from ██████████ dated March 22, 2017
- M-7 Statement from ██████████ dated March 22, 2017
- M-8 SNAP Review Form dated April 29, 2013
- M-9 Rights and Responsibilities Form dated May 23, 2013

- M-10 Rights and Responsibilities Form dated November 18, 2013
- M-11 SNAP Review Form dated April 28, 2014
- M-12 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §20.6
- M-13 West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §20.2

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence at the hearing, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1) The Movant alleged that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation by trafficking her SNAP benefits and requested that a SNAP penalty of twelve (12) months be imposed against her.
- 2) [REDACTED] was disqualified by the United States Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service (USDA-FNS) division for trafficking SNAP benefits in April 2016. The Defendant was implicated as allegedly trafficking his SNAP benefits with [REDACTED], based on a pattern of purchases made with his Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) card (Exhibits M-4 and M-5).
- 3) [REDACTED] is a rural, 1,800-square-foot convenience store which carries a limited amount of fresh meats, dairy items, breads, snacks, frozen foods, and various sundries. [REDACTED] did not provide shopping carts or baskets for customer use, and the USDA-FNS survey of the store's inventory documented empty shelving (Exhibit M-3).
- 4) The Movant contended that the Defendant had multiple purchases deemed to be excessively large for this type of retail store and based on the items available for purchase at [REDACTED] (Exhibit M-5).
- 5) The Movant alleged that the Defendant was trafficking his SNAP benefits with the store, either purchasing non-food items or "running a tab" at the store, and paying this account using his EBT card (Exhibit M-5).
- 6) The Defendant often had numerous transactions with [REDACTED] in the same day within a short period of time, as many as four (4) transactions on the same day. The Defendant made purchases at neighboring grocery stores with her EBT card in between the multiple same-day transactions with [REDACTED] (Exhibit M-5).

### **APPLICABLE POLICY**

Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR §273.16, establishes that an individual making a false or misleading statement, or misrepresenting, concealing or withholding facts, violating the Food Stamp Program, or any State statute for the purpose of acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of coupons, authorization cards or reusable documents used as part of an automated benefit delivery system has committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV).

Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR §271.2 defines trafficking as the buying, selling, stealing, or otherwise effecting an exchange of SNAP benefits issued and accessed via EBT cards, card numbers and personal identification numbers, for cash or consideration other than eligible food, either directly, indirectly, in complicity or collusion with others or acting alone.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §20.C.2 defines an IPV and establishes that IPV's include: making false or misleading statements, misrepresentations, concealing or withholding information, and committing any act that violates the Food Stamp Act of 1977, SNAP regulations, or any State statute related to the use, presentation, transfer, acquisition, receipt, or possession of SNAP benefits. Once an IPV has been established, a disqualification period must be imposed on the Assistance Group (AG) member who committed the violation. Furthermore, IPV claims must be established for trafficking-related offenses. Claims arising from trafficking-related offenses are the value of the trafficking benefits as determined by the individual's admission, adjudication, or documentation that forms the basis of the trafficking determination.

West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual §9.1 sets forth the penalties for individuals found guilty of an IPV as follows: First Offense, twelve (12) month disqualification; Second Offense, twenty-four (24) month disqualification; Third Offense, permanent disqualification.

## DISCUSSION

Federal regulations define trafficking as the exchange of SNAP benefits accessed through an EBT card for cash or consideration other than eligible food. An Intentional Program Violation occurs when an individual is found to have trafficked his or her SNAP benefits.

The Defendant denied committing fraud regarding her SNAP benefits. The Defendant stated that with three (3) children living in her home, the amount of money she spent with [REDACTED] was not considered excessive. The Defendant stated she did not have transportation and would visit [REDACTED] when she was low on food because it the most convenient grocery store.

The Defendant had numerous same-day transactions with [REDACTED] during the investigative period, visiting the store several times daily. The pattern of these transactions could not be explained as forgetting an item, and returning to make another purchase as these transactions would be hours apart. The Defendant would visit neighboring grocery stores within the times she conducted business with [REDACTED]. Coupled with the Defendant's justification that she did not have transportation, visiting the same store several times a day suggests that the Defendant was misusing her SNAP benefits by paying for items purchased on credit with her EBT card. The Defendant's validations of the multiple same-day transactions with [REDACTED] based on the store's limited eligible food items available for purchase, is unconvincing.

The Defendant is found to have trafficked her SNAP benefits with [REDACTED].

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1) An Intentional Program Violation occurs when an individual is found to have trafficked his or her SNAP benefits.
- 2) The Defendant trafficked her SNAP benefits with [REDACTED].
- 3) A twelve-month SNAP penalty will be applied to the Defendant as this is her first offense

### **DECISION**

It is the finding of the State Hearing Officer that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation. The Defendant will be disqualified from participation in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program for twelve (12) months, effective July 1, 2017.

**ENTERED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of June 2017**

---

**Kristi Logan  
State Hearing Officer**